

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/707,187	11/25/2003	James J. Kinsella	ETC7455.055	1186
2,000	590 03/05/2007 PATENT SOLUTIONS (EXAMINER		
136 S WISCON	SIN ST	DEBERADINIS, ROBERT L		
PORT WASHINGTON, WI 53074		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		2836		
SHORTENED STATUTORY	PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MON	THS .	03/05/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	-	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		10/707,187	KINSELLA ET AL.	
		Examiner	Art Unit	
•		Robert DeBeradinis	2836	
The MAILING DATE of this co Period for Reply	mmunication appea	ars on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence a	ddress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PER WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM - Extensions of time may be available under the p after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of of If NO period for reply is specified above, the main Failure to reply within the set or extended period Any reply received by the Office later than three earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.	THE MAILING DAT rovisions of 37 CFR 1.136(this communication. ximum statutory period will for reply will, by statute, ca months after the mailing da	E OF THIS COMMUN a). In no event, however, may a apply and will expire SIX (6) MC ause the application to become A	ICATION. I reply be timely filed ONTHS from the mailing date of this ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
1) Responsive to communication	n(s) filed on 05 Dec	ember 2006.		
2a)☐ This action is FINAL .		ction is non-final.		
3)☐ Since this application is in cor	,		tters, prosecution as to th	e merits is
closed in accordance with the			·	
Disposition of Claims				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are pending i	n the application.			
4a) Of the above claim(s)	is/are withdrawn	from consideration.	•	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed			· .	
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are rejected.				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected	d to.	•		
8) Claim(s) are subject to		election requirement.		
Application Papers				
9)☐ The specification is objected to	by the Examiner.			•
10) The drawing(s) filed on	•	ted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that ar		· -	•	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) in				FR 1.121(d).
11)☐ The oath or declaration is obje	cted to by the Exar	niner. Note the attache	ed Office Action or form P	TO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a	claim for foreign n	iority under 35 U.S.C.	& 119(a)-(d) or (f)	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ Non	- -	ioney under oo o.c.o.	3 1 10(4) (4) 01 (1).	
1. Certified copies of the p		nave heen received		•
2. ☐ Certified copies of the p			Application No.	
3. Copies of the certified of				l Stane
application from the Inte			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· Olago
* See the attached detailed Office	•	` ''	t received.	
				
Attachment(s)			_	
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) D Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Re 	aview (PTO-949)		Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/	,	5) Notice of	Informal Patent Application	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) 🔲 Other:	·		

Application/Control Number: 10/707,187

Art Unit: 2836

DETAILED ACTION

The reply filed 121/5/06 consists of remarks related to rejection of claims.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-21 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims of U.S. Patent No. 6,967,549. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because claim 1 claims a contactor assembly with controller that is not patentably distinct from the claimed controller in the application.

Art Unit: 2836

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Robert L.

DeBeradinis whose number is (571) 272-2049. The Examiner can normally be reached Monday-Friday from 8:30 am to 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, Brian Sircus, can be reached on (571) 272-2058. The Fax phone number for this Group is (571) 272-8300.

RLD

FEBRUARY 20, 2007

ROBERT L. DEBERADINIS
PRIMARY EXAMINER